

REGULATION 8 OF THE INFRASTRUCTURE PLANNING (APPLICATIONS: PRESCRIBED FORMS AND PROCEDURE) REGULATIONS 2009

REGULATION 16 OF THE INFRASTRUCTURE PLANNING (ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT) REGULATIONS 2017

IMMINGHAM EASTERN RO-RO TERMINAL DEVELOPMENT:

Principle Areas of Disagreement

Introduction

North East Lincolnshire Council (NELC) have been in discussions and negotiations with the applicant from the start of the project and have provided comments on various aspects of the scheme through the Statutory Consultation process. These relevant representations found below follow on from previous comments made.

NELC recognises the nature and form of the proposed development in the context of the Immingham Port Estate and the activities associated with the core function of the Port. Growth in the local area is under pinned by the Ports of Immingham and Grimsby. The proposed Ro-Ro project, along with its associated investment and job creation is, in principle, welcomed.

As seen through previous correspondence the internal consultees from NELC, including Drainage, Environmental Health, Trees and Landscape, Heritage and Ecology have no objections to the development. In regard to Ecology it is important to note that the NELC Ecologist has focused on the terrestrial ecological impact with other statutory bodies considering the intertidal and marine based ecological impact.

The applicant has been working with the NELC Highways team for some time with particular regard to traffic generation, layout of the East Gate access and signage to and around the port. The development will create a significant increase in HGV movements around the Port and the Local Highway Network. Detailed consideration of the impact of the development on the highway network has been undertaken through the Transport Assessment. NELC have been seeking clarification on various points which are being worked on by the applicant. It is not anticipated that these points will cause NELC to have an objection to the development but clarification is required. These points are listed in appendix 1 of this document.

It is anticipated that the Highways matters will be resolved in time to confirm them through the Statement of Common Ground and Local Impact Report.



Appendix 1 – Issues Table

Issue	Progress / Response	NELC Comments
Accident Data Update	Ordered and awaited from	Look forward to receiving
, recident bata opuate	NELC. Will be collated into	zeen iei wara te receiung
	short technical note	
Existing and proposed cycle	Agreed at meeting on 07/06/23	Agreed
	that an additional cycle store	Agreeu
parking	will be provided in the staff car	
	park adjacent to the site access	
	through detailed design.	
Traval Dian		Agraad
Travel Plan	It was agreed that the	Agreed
	Framework was acceptable at	
	this stage but that a	
	requirement would be included	
	in the DCO to secure the	
	production of a more detailed	
	occupier specific Travel Plan	
	prior to first operational use.	
East Gate – larger scale plan	Plan prepared and RSA	Look forward to receiving
and Road Safety Audit	underway	this.
requested		
Signage	Additional road signage does	Accepted.
	not form part of the DCO for	
	IERRT. A separate work stream	
	is progressing on signage	
	details which will be circulated	
	in due course	
Staff Movements / vs Car	Staff levels are robust and we	Agreed.
Parking	are reviewing car parking	
C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	provision. TA tests 50	
	movements in and out per	
	hour (100 cars) (para 5.3.2) so	
	allows for a higher level of staff	
	movements than forecast. It	
	movements than forecast. It	
	was agreed at the meeting on	
	was agreed at the meeting on	
	was agreed at the meeting on 07/06/23 that no changes were	
Traffic Assignment	was agreed at the meeting on	Look forward to receiving
Traffic Assignment	was agreed at the meeting on 07/06/23 that no changes were required. This has been reviewed and	Look forward to receiving this.
Traffic Assignment	was agreed at the meeting on 07/06/23 that no changes were required. This has been reviewed and responded to in technical note	
	was agreed at the meeting on 07/06/23 that no changes were required. This has been reviewed and responded to in technical note to be issues shortly.	this.
Traffic Assignment Network Impact – Pyewipe and Westgate Roundabouts	was agreed at the meeting on 07/06/23 that no changes were required. This has been reviewed and responded to in technical note	



Working in partnership

	each peak, which is very likely to be within the daily variation of traffic flows at both roundabouts. For this reason, a more in-depth traffic impact assessment is not considered necessary at the junctions. This was agreed at meeting on 07/06/23.	
Junction modelling	These have been updated and	Look forward to
parameters	will be provided.	receiving.
East Gate Queuing Assessment	Under review	This is the biggest area of concern so NELC welcome the review and look forward to receiving updated assessment.
Impact on Pelham Road	As shown in the traffic assignment diagrams, only 22 two-way light vehicles are expected to use the Kings Road/ Pelham Road roundabout in each peak. Over half of these vehicles live in Immingham and so are likely to already be using this junction to get to work. Due to this, both the percentage and absolute increase in traffic does not require an in-depth traffic impact assessment to carried out at the Kings Road/ Pelham Road roundabout. This was agreed at meeting on 07/06/23.	Agreed.